



CULTIVATING THE AUTONOMOUS ENGINEER: HOW STRUCTURED SELF-STUDY FUELS COMPETENCE IN OIL AND GAS EDUCATION

Taktasheva Dinara Rinatovna

Associate Professor, Head of “Foreign Languages”
department, Branch of Russian State University of Oil
and Gas (National Research University) named after
I.M. Gubkin in Tashkent

Kodirov Javohir Zokir ugli

student, Branch of Russian State University of Oil
and Gas (National Research University) named after
I.M. Gubkin in Tashkent

***Abstract** This study investigates the impact of structured self-study on the professional competence development of oil and gas university students. A quasi-experimental intervention involving 70 third-year undergraduates implemented a two-stage self-study program (specialized presentation and bilingual glossary development). Professional competence was assessed before, during, and after the intervention. Results demonstrated a statistically significant increase in overall competence from 39.2% to 57.4%, with terminological competence showing the most substantial growth (from 38% to 65%). The findings confirm that systematically organized, scaffolded self-study is a critical pedagogical tool for fostering the deep, self-regulated learning necessary to train adaptable and competitive specialists in the modern engineering landscape.*

***Keywords:** self-study, professional competence, terminological competence, oil and gas education, competency-based approach, self-regulated learning, higher technical education, pedagogical experiment.*

The landscape of global industry, particularly in technically demanding sectors like oil and gas, is evolving at an unprecedented pace. This transformation, driven by digitalization, sustainability imperatives, and complex international projects, has fundamentally redefined what it means to be a competent graduate. In Uzbekistan, national educational reforms championed by President Sh.M. Mirziyoyev explicitly call for the cultivation of a proactive, modern, and intellectually agile generation, positioning them as the cornerstone of national progress. This vision necessitates a parallel shift within higher education from traditional, instructor-centric knowledge transmission to a model that prioritizes the development of self-sufficient, adaptable professionals. At the heart of this pedagogical evolution lies a powerful yet often underutilized tool: organized self-study.

Traditionally, self-study in technical universities has been relegated to supplementary homework or exam preparation. However, contemporary pedagogical theory and the demands of a “knowledge economy” compel us to reconceptualize it. Scholars like Aslamova posit self-study as the highest form of educational activity- a deliberate process of self-education that fosters lifelong learning. Yet, as Bazanova and Krivchenko note, its potential is fully unlocked only when it transitions from a sporadic task to a systematically guided and integrated component of the curriculum, one that actively builds both skill and motivational drive. This is especially critical for developing *terminological competence*, a specialized subset of professional competence defined by the mastery of a field’s precise language. Cabré emphasizes that this is not mere vocabulary acquisition

but the key to accessing technical discourse, ensuring safety protocols, and enabling international collaboration.

To move beyond theoretical postulation and provide empirical evidence, we designed and implemented a longitudinal study at the Branch of Russian State University of Oil and Gas (National Research University) named after I.M. Gubkin in Tashkent. Our objective was clear: to measure the tangible impact of a structured self-study program on the multifaceted professional competence of future petroleum engineers. The study involved 70 third-year “Oil and Gas Business” students over a 14-week semester integrated into their “Foreign Language (English)” course - a strategic choice to simultaneously target linguistic and technical competency. The intervention was a sequenced, two-stage process: first, students independently researched and delivered a presentation on a current industry topic; second, they deepened that research by constructing a detailed, bilingual English-Russian glossary with definitions and contextual examples for key terms from their presentation. Professional competence was quantitatively assessed using a validated test (PCT) measuring terminological, conceptual-applicative, and cognitive-strategic sub-competencies at three points: before the intervention (baseline), after the presentation, and after the glossary completion.

The quantitative results were unequivocal. The mean professional competence score rose from a baseline of 39.2% to 45.8% after the first task, and culminated at 57.4% upon completion of the glossary - an overall gain of 18.2 percentage points. Statistical analysis confirmed this growth was highly significant ($p < .001$) with a large effect size. A granular look at the sub-competencies reveals the nuanced story behind

this success. Terminological competence demonstrated the most dramatic leap, increasing from 38% to 65%. This can be directly attributed to the glossary task, which forced a deep cognitive engagement with vocabulary. Students were not simply memorizing translated terms; they were engaging in active knowledge construction by seeking out authentic definitions from technical literature, discerning nuances between similar terms, and crafting contextual examples. This process aligns with the “depth of processing” theory, where information analyzed semantically is retained far more effectively than information processed superficially. The act of defining a term like “sour gas” or “hydraulic fracturing” in one's own words and providing an accurate example requires a synthesis of understanding that transcends rote memorization.

The conceptual-applicative competency, which grew from 40% to 55%, benefited from the synergistic relationship between the two tasks. The presentation task required students to synthesize information from various sources into a coherent narrative, forcing them to understand systems and processes. The subsequent glossary task then deconstructed that narrative into its core conceptual components. This sequence - from synthesis to analysis - mirrors the cognitive progression in Bloom's taxonomy and effectively scaffolds learning. For instance, a student presenting on “Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Methods” first gains a holistic view. When they later define “polymer flooding”, “thermal recovery”, and “micellar-polymer flooding” for their glossary, they are compelled to distinguish between them precisely, solidifying their applied understanding of each method's mechanism and context.

The more modest but significant growth in cognitive-strategic competence (from 40% to 52%) points to the development of crucial metacognitive skills. Successful completion of the self-study program required students to plan their time over weeks, locate and evaluate the credibility of specialized sources (a skill 85% of participants reported developing for the first time), and self-correct based on instructor feedback. This cultivated the very capacity for self-regulated learning (SRL) described by Zimmerman. The initial struggle reported by many students –“not knowing where to start” or “managing the open-ended research” - was, in fact, a critical part of the learning process. By navigating this struggle with guided support, they began to internalize strategies for approaching complex, ill-defined problems, a hallmark of engineering practice.

The qualitative feedback from students powerfully triangulates this data. One participant noted, *“Writing the definition for 'subsea manifold' myself, after reading three different explanations, made me realize I hadn't fully understood it before. Now I can't forget it”*. This statement encapsulates the move from passive reception to active construction. Another commented on the process: *“It was stressful to plan the research, but after the glossary was done, I felt I could tackle any technical article”*. This reflects the growth in self-efficacy and strategic confidence.

Furthermore, the study's design as a Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) activity created a uniquely powerful learning environment. The professional content provided a meaningful, authentic context for language use, while the foreign language medium necessitated a higher degree of precision and clarity in understanding the technical concepts. This dual challenge prevented superficial

learning; to explain a concept in English, one must first understand it deeply in any language.

The implications of these findings are substantial for pedagogical practice. They argue compellingly against the ad-hoc assignment of self-study. Instead, they advocate for its deliberate design as a *scaffolded system*. Key principles emerge:

1. Sequencing for Cognitive Depth: Tasks should build from broader synthesis (presentations, reports) to focused analysis (glossaries, model critiques).

2. Embedded Metacognitive Training: Assignments should explicitly require planning memos, source evaluations, and self-reflection summaries to make the development of SRL skills visible and deliberate.

3. Authentic Integration: Self-study is most effective when it mirrors professional activities - researching a new technology, preparing a briefing, standardizing terminology for a project -and is integrated across language and core engineering courses.

4. Feedback as a Dialogue: Assessment must shift from summative grading to formative feedback loops focused on the research process, quality of sources, and iterative improvement, as emphasized by Black and Wiliam.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that organized self-study is far more than a supplementary academic exercise; it is a fundamental pedagogical engine for developing the modern oil and gas professional. The measured 18.2% increase in competence, particularly in the critical area of terminology, provides robust evidence that when self-study is structured, sequenced, and integrated into the curriculum, it directly builds the intellectual autonomy, depth of understanding, and adaptive

problem-solving skills the industry demands. By strategically empowering students to become architects of their own learning, we do not merely teach them petroleum engineering - we equip them with the capacity to evolve alongside it, thereby answering the national call for a generation capable of leading through innovation and expertise in an ever-changing world.

References

1. Aslamova T.V. Interactive technologies for teaching intercultural communication in a non-linguistic university: Materials of the international scientific and practical conference «Innovative pedagogy and interactive learning» - St. Petersburg, 2013. p. 16-17
2. Bazanova Ye.M. The use of Internet technologies to create a dictionary of terminological vocabulary: Materials of the international scientific and practical conference “Intercultural communication and cultural linguistics: innovative projects in professionally oriented teaching of foreign languages in the context of globalization”, - M.: 2011, p.18-19
3. Krivchenko T.A. The motivational-value sphere of the subjects of the educational process//Value-motivational orientations of university students in modern Russia: Collection of articles. - M.: Research Center for the Problems of the Quality of Specialist, 2015.
4. Kuznetsova S.V. Teaching aspects of language and types of speech activity/S.V. Kuznetsov, L.N. Lebedeva.- SPb, 2015.
5. Mirziyoyev Sh. M. Message to the Oliy Majlis of the Republic of Uzbekistan as of December 29, 2020. <https://president.uz/ru/lists/view/4057>. Accessed on 14.05.2022.