



## PROBLEMS OF DEVELOPING INTERCULTURAL COMPETENCE IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE EDUCATION

**Jahongirova Gulhayo Jahongirovna,**

Student of Navoi State University

Email: [jahongirovagulhayo1@gmail.com](mailto:jahongirovagulhayo1@gmail.com)

Tel: +998 99 133 71 42

Orcid ID: 0009-0006-9914-9260

Scientific Advisor: **M.N.Nadjmiddinova**

Teacher of Department of Applied English, Navoi  
State University

**Abstract** *The rapid globalization of communication, education, and professional interaction has transformed foreign language learning into a process that extends beyond linguistic proficiency. It now demands the development of intercultural competence — the ability to communicate effectively and appropriately across cultures. This article explores the theoretical foundations, pedagogical significance, and practical challenges of developing intercultural competence in foreign language education. The paper identifies the key problems teachers and learners face in integrating cultural dimensions into language teaching, including ethnocentrism, stereotypes, limited cultural exposure, and methodological barriers. Possible strategies for overcoming these challenges through pedagogical innovation, reflective learning, and authentic cultural engagement are also discussed.*

**Keywords:** *intercultural competence, foreign language education, cultural awareness, globalization, communication, teaching methodology.*

### Introduction

In the twenty-first century, learning a foreign language is no longer limited to acquiring grammatical accuracy or lexical knowledge. It involves understanding and respecting cultural diversity, as language

and culture are inseparable components of human communication. As Byram (1997) states, intercultural competence enables learners “to interact with people from different cultural backgrounds on the basis of mutual respect and understanding.”

Despite its recognized importance, the formation of intercultural competence remains one of the most complex issues in modern language pedagogy. Teachers often face difficulties in balancing linguistic and cultural instruction, while students struggle to interpret cultural meanings beyond surface-level facts. This article aims to analyze the core challenges of developing intercultural competence in foreign language education and to suggest potential solutions for improving its integration into curricula.

### Method

The term *intercultural competence* has been defined in various ways by scholars across linguistics, communication studies, and pedagogy. According to Fantini (2000), it refers to “a complex of abilities needed to perform effectively and appropriately when interacting with others who are linguistically and culturally different.”

Byram (1997) proposed a widely accepted model of intercultural communicative competence consisting of five components:

1. **Attitudes** — curiosity and openness toward other cultures.
2. **Knowledge** — understanding of one’s own and others’ cultural norms and communication styles.
3. **Skills of interpreting and relating** — ability to compare and analyze cultural practices.
4. **Skills of discovery and interaction** — capacity to engage with new cultural situations.

5. **Critical cultural awareness** — evaluating cultural phenomena from multiple perspectives.

Thus, intercultural competence is not only a linguistic skill but also a psychological and ethical quality that enables mutual understanding and tolerance among speakers of different languages.

The integration of intercultural learning into language education plays a crucial role in forming global citizens capable of empathy, adaptability, and critical thinking. In modern educational systems, developing intercultural competence contributes to:

- ✓ **Effective international communication**, avoiding misunderstandings caused by cultural differences.
- ✓ **Cultural sensitivity and tolerance**, essential for peaceful coexistence and cooperation.
- ✓ **Professional success**, as cross-cultural collaboration has become a norm in business, diplomacy, and academia.
- ✓ **Personal development**, fostering curiosity, empathy, and global-mindedness.

Foreign language classrooms, therefore, should serve not only as spaces for linguistic practice but also as laboratories for intercultural interaction.

## Results

Despite theoretical progress, several practical and psychological challenges hinder the successful development of intercultural competence in foreign language education.

One of the major obstacles is *ethnocentrism* — the tendency to view one's own culture as central and superior. This attitude often leads to cultural misunderstandings and prevents learners from developing

empathy. In addition, cultural stereotypes — oversimplified images of foreign societies — distort learners' perceptions. For example, students may associate English-speaking cultures with materialism or individualism, while ignoring their diversity and historical depth.

Another significant problem is the lack of authentic cultural materials and real-life intercultural experiences. Textbooks often present superficial cultural facts (holidays, food, landmarks) without exploring deeper cultural values, communication norms, and worldviews. Many learners never have direct contact with native speakers, limiting their understanding to artificial examples.

Teachers play a key role in fostering intercultural competence, yet many language instructors lack specific training in intercultural education. They may be proficient in grammar and methodology but unfamiliar with sociolinguistic and cultural dimensions of language use. As Sercu (2005) emphasizes, “teachers themselves must be interculturally competent to guide students effectively.”

Traditional curricula often prioritize linguistic accuracy over cultural understanding. Assessment methods typically focus on grammar and vocabulary rather than students' ability to interpret and respond to cultural contexts. Without adequate evaluation tools, intercultural competence remains undervalued in education systems.

Intercultural communication often triggers anxiety and insecurity among learners. Fear of making mistakes or unintentionally offending someone can limit authentic interaction. Additionally, differences in communication styles — such as directness in English versus indirectness in some other cultures — may cause discomfort and confusion.

To address these challenges, a combination of pedagogical innovation, technology, and reflective practice is required.

Language teachers should embed cultural context into all stages of instruction. Activities such as role-playing, case studies, and simulations can help learners experience cultural scenarios firsthand. For instance, analyzing dialogues that involve polite disagreement or hospitality in English-speaking countries promotes cultural awareness beyond vocabulary.

Incorporating authentic resources — films, interviews, songs, news articles, and social media content — exposes students to real cultural expressions. These materials help learners understand discourse norms, humor, values, and nonverbal communication.

### **Discussion**

Modern technologies enable students to interact with peers from other countries through virtual exchange programs, online forums, or video conferences. Such intercultural communication develops both linguistic and socio-pragmatic competence.

Language teacher education should include modules on intercultural communication, cultural anthropology, and reflective teaching. Teachers should learn how to identify cultural bias in materials and design activities that promote intercultural understanding.

Encouraging students to compare their own cultural norms with those of the target language fosters critical thinking. Reflection journals, discussions, and cultural portfolios allow learners to internalize new perspectives and challenge stereotypes.

To make intercultural competence a real learning goal, assessment systems must include qualitative criteria that evaluate learners' awareness and attitudes. Rubrics may assess:

- Respect and openness toward cultural diversity;
- Ability to interpret cultural meanings;
- Communication adaptability; and
- Critical reflection on intercultural experiences.

Self-assessment and peer evaluation can complement traditional exams, promoting personal reflection and long-term growth.

### Conclusion

Developing intercultural competence in foreign language education is a multidimensional process that involves linguistic, psychological, and ethical growth. Despite the challenges posed by ethnocentrism, lack of resources, and limited teacher training, it remains an essential goal for global education.

Language learning must evolve from mere linguistic instruction to a process of *cultural dialogue*. Through authentic materials, technology, and reflective teaching, educators can cultivate learners who not only speak another language but also understand another world. As Kramsch (1998) notes, "language is the principal means by which we gain access to the cultural world of others." Therefore, the future of foreign language education depends on integrating intercultural competence as its core foundation.

### References

1. Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence*. Multilingual Matters.

2. Fantini, A. E. (2000). *A Central Concern: Developing Intercultural Competence*. SIT Occasional Papers.
3. Kramsch, C. (1998). *Language and Culture*. Oxford University Press.
4. Sercu, L. (2005). *Foreign Language Teachers and Intercultural Competence*. Multilingual Matters.
5. Spitzberg, B. H., & Changnon, G. (2009). "Conceptualizing Intercultural Competence." In *The SAGE Handbook of Intercultural Competence*. Sage Publications.
6. Deardorff, D. K. (2006). "Identification and Assessment of Intercultural Competence." *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 10(3), 241–266.
7. Najmiddinova M.N., Najmiddinova G.N. "Pedagogical mechanisms for improving student knowledge with the help of Artificial Intelligence". International journal of scientific researchers". [www.wordlyknowledge.uz](http://www.wordlyknowledge.uz). Volume: 2, Issue: 1, 2023.
8. Najmiddinova M.N., Najmiddinova S.N. "Ways to organize the activities of teachers in the process of distance Education" . *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, ISSN: 2278-4853 Vol. 11, Issue 5, May 2022.
9. Najmiddinova M.N. "Practical basis of the problem of teaching foreign languages in high education system". International Conference on Sustainable Development and Economics. June 24-25.
10. Najmiddinova M.N. Linguodidactic features of proverbs related to the concept of "hospitality" (Examples from English and Uzbek languages) // [il va adabiyot.uz](http://ilvaadabiyot.uz) Ilmiy-metodik elektron jurnal. - [tilvaadabiyotuz@gmail.com](mailto:tilvaadabiyotuz@gmail.com), 6-son.2025.-B.227-230. <https://oak.uz/pages/4802>

11. Najmiddinova M.N., Furqatova H.A., Nabiyeva D.G'. "Linguistic features of phraseological units with a common meaning "hospitality", "Modern trends of teaching in the context of innovative and digital technologies in higher education: prospects, problems and solutions". November 29, 2024. –B.607-609.<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14259715>.
12. Najmiddinova M.N. "Linguocultural and linguopragmatic features of the concept of "hospitality" in English and Uzbek"//International conference Philology, Methodology, Translation Studies: Current Issues of Modern Science. -8-9.11.2024.-P.306-309.<https://doi.org/10.2024/1xm0b673>.
13. Najmiddinova M.N. "Linguoculturalogical features of proverbs on "hospitality" in English and Uzbek", Tamaddun nuri // The light of civilization, ISSN 2181-8258, 10(61), 2024.-P.74-79. <https://jurnal.tamaddunnuri.uz/index.php/tnj/article/view/972>
14. Najmiddinova M.N., Qahramonova M.U. "Innovation in language teaching, learning and assessment" // Results of National Scientific Research International Journal, Volume 4| Issue 3 Researchbib 9.1, ISSN: 2181-3639,2025. -P.132-140. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15111294>
15. Najmiddinova M.N. "Mehmondo'stlik" tushunchasiga oid maqollarning pragmatik tahlili/"Universal journal of social sciences philosophy and culture". - <https://scienceresearch.uz/index.php/UJSSPC/article/view/286> ISSN: 2992-8834 IMPACT FACTOR: 8.0,2025.-B.44-50.. <https://zenodo.org/records/14732811>
16. Najmiddinova M.N. Similarities and differences between values of Uzbek and English cultures // Tanqidiy nazar, tahliliy tafakkur va

<https://phoenixpublication.net/index.php/TANQ/article/view/3802>

17. Najmiddinova M.N., Rahmatova M.U. The role of Pragmatics in Intercultural Communication with an Emphasis on Politeness // Tamaddun Nuri/The light of civilization. -ISSN 2181-8258 IF-9.347 DOI 10.69691,4-son (67) 2025.-P.237-240. <https://doi.org/10.2024/1xm0b673>.
18. Najmiddinova M.N. Linguistic features of phraseological units with a common meaning "hospitality" // Qo'qon DPI. Ilmiy xabarlar,3-son. ISBN: 978-9943-7182-7-2 "CLASSIC" nashriyoti.2025.-P.1886-1891. [www.kspi.uz](http://www.kspi.uz) [journal.kspi.uz](http://journal.kspi.uz)
19. Najmiddinova M.N. Linguopragmatic analysis of phraseological units and idioms relating to the concept of hospitality in English and Uzbek //FarDu, Ilmiy xabarlar jurnali, ISSN 2181-1571.Volume 31 Issue 4, 2025. -P.73-84. DOI: 10.56292/SJFSU/vol31\_iss4/a94. <https://journal.fdu.uz/>